

United States Patent and Trademark Office

_

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/583,294 | 06/16/2006 | Bharat I. Chaudhary | 64075 US | 2647 |
| 109 THE DOW CH | 7590 07/02/200 IEMICAL COMPANY | EXAMINER | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION, | | | RABAGO, ROBERTO | |
| P. O. BOX 196 MIDLAND, M | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ŕ | | | 1713 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/02/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| ` | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/583,294 | CHAUDHARY ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | Roberto Rábago | 1713 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | idress | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | | secution as to th | e merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 June 2006 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicati | on No | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National | Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | atent Application | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims refer to "the resulting polymer," but fail to indicate any reaction or process which produces a "resulting polymer." The composition includes components which are capable of certain functions, such as free radical formation and reaction with same, suppression of degradation, grafting, free radical trapping, and by implication, formation of a thermally reversibly crosslinked polymer. However, none of these reactions or processes are stated to actually occur in any component of the stated composition. Accordingly, it cannot be determined which stage of reaction (if any) the required "resulting polymer" corresponds to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1713

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anna et al. (US 20060047098).

The reference discloses in Examples 3-11 compositions comprising LDPE, peroxide, a grafting species comprising a reversible bond contributor, and a further compound including two complementary bond contributor sites, and further heating the mixture to form a grafted thermally reversible crosslinked polyethylene composition, including all claimed limitations. The reference has not reported the gel content of instant claims 2-5; however, it would appear that the required gel content is inherent in the reference examples because applicants have used substantially the same components for precisely the same objective as that reported in the reference. The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to show that the reference process would not produce polymers with the claimed gel content.

5. Claims 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chino et al. (US 6,746,562).

The reference discloses in Examples 1-6 compositions comprising a free radical degradable polymer, grafting species comprising a reversible bond contributor, and a further compound including one or two complementary bond contributor sites, and

Art Unit: 1713

further reacting the components to form a grafted thermally reversible crosslinked polymer composition, including all claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anna et al. (US 20060047098).

The parent claim is discussed with respect to this reference above. Although unexemplified, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the claimed polymers in the method disclosed in the reference because they are suggested as useful alternatives in [0046].

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

RR June 17, 2007